

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney
KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
Assistant United States Attorney
2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401
Fresno, CA 93721
Telephone: (559) 497-4000
Facsimile: (559) 497-4099

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DALE WESLEY HUBBARD,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00306-JLT-SKO

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
ORDER

CURRENT DATE: February 20, 2024
TIME: 9:00 a.m.
COURT: Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for a change of plea on February 20, 2024.
2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the change of plea hearing to May 20, 2024 before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, and to exclude time between February 20, 2024, and May 20, 2024, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv).
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a) The government asserts the discovery associated with this case includes reports, photographs, and recordings; initial discovery has been provided to defense counsel and the government anticipates significant additional discovery will be produced. The government is aware of its ongoing discovery obligations.

b) Counsel for defendant desires time to finalize plea discussions with his client and has accounted for scheduling conflicts to reach the proposed date. The parties need additional time to come to an agreement on certain details of a potential plea agreement. Defense requests time for further evaluation, negotiation and investigation to be in a position for the defendant to either enter a guilty plea or set the case for trial.

c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

d) The government does not object to the continuance.

e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 20, 2024 to May 20, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv), because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: February 16, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

/s/ KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: February 16, 2024

/s/ KEVIN P. ROONEY
KEVIN P. ROONEY
Counsel for Defendant
DALE WESLEY HUBBARD

FINDINGS AND ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 16, 2024


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE